## Cardiovascular risk evaluation in aircrew members Comparison between SCORE and empirical evaluation
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- Major impact of coronary disease :
- Major public health problem in the general/military population
- Risk for sudden incapacitation in flight
- Risk for the mission
- More than 200 cardiovascular risk factors or markers are described:
[- Modifiable / non-modifiable
- Major/minor
- Dependent / independent
- Cumulative effect << they potentiate each other



## Cardiovascular risk assessment at each visit

$\rightarrow$ Gold standard scores

- Many scores are available:

American
Heart
Association.

- But : time-consuming and sometimes complex, used lot of data

$\rightarrow$ Gold standard scores
- Many scores are available:
- But : time-consuming and sometimes complex, used lot of data
often replaced with an empirical evaluation (EE)
(only in primary prevention)


## Different scores

- European scores : SCORE
- Laurier
- German score : PROCAM
- American scores: Framingham - ASCVD - Reynolds


## - Score (Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation)

- European model :
- 12 European cohort studies
- 250,000 patients data collected
- 3 million person-years
- 7,000 fatal CV events recorded.
- Establish the total 10 year risk of

Cardiovascular death

- based on gender, age, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure and smoking status

Cardiovascular mortality in Europe and USA 2012


- according to the risk level of the country

Mortensen and Falk. Eur Heart J. 2016;38(29):2259-2263.


## High Risk

Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, Macedonia FYR, Moldova, Russia, Ukraine and Uzbekistan.


## Low Risk

Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, San Marino, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

## Advantages

European population
Easy to use, visual results with color code
Frequent updates

## Disadvantages

- Not really adapted for diabetes
- some cardiovascular risk factors not taken into account:
- Family history of cardiac disease
- Obesity
- Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome ...
- Smoking : simple evaluation by smoker/non smoker
- only mortality and not all CV events


## Empirical evaluation


\gg50 yo for male
$\gg 60$ yo for female


Smoking
> Cigarettes/day
$>$ Duration +++



Diabetes
> Equilibration
> Duration +++


High Blood Pressure
$\gg 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$
$>$ Stress during visit

Family
History
$\gg 55$ yo for male
$\gg 65$ yo for female
$>\mathrm{BMI}>30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

- Empirical but also global evaluation
- Gradual et progressive
- Evaluation of other cardiac risk factors:
- Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome,
- Physical activity
- Diet, Alcohol
- Chronic inflammation
- Stress ...


## Aims

- Comparison of global cardiac risk between ESC SCORE and empirical evaluation
in aircrew member (AM) population
- Specific analysis of under-estimated and over-estimated population


## Methods

- Population
- Civilian and military aircrew members
- Aeromedical center of Bordeaux military hospital
- During 1 year (between 04/01/2017 and 03/31/2018)
- Age > 40 yo
- Systematic blood test during the visit

- Exclusion Criteria
- Coronary artery or vascular disease
- Age > 75 yo

- Analysed data
- Empirical evaluation by AME
- SCORE calculated in a second time
$\rightarrow$ Low, moderate and high risk



## Results

## $\mathrm{n}=564$

Exclusion: 16
7 strokes, 6 CAD, $3>75$ yo
Mean age: 48.6 yo +/- 6 40 to 75 yo




## Empirical evaluation vs SCORE

## SC円RE

| EE | Low risk | Moderate <br> risk | High risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCORE |  |  |  |
| Low risk |  |  |  |
| Moderate <br> risk |  |  |  |
| High risk |  |  |  |

## Good correlation : 75.5\% $\rightarrow \mathrm{p}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 \%}$

( same results with Framingham and ASCVD)

## Results : under-estimated population

| EE | Low risk | Moderate <br> risk | High risk |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Look |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 315 |
| Low risk | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate <br> risk | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 149 |  |  |  |  |
| High risk | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 327 | 217 | 20 | 564 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Under- $\mathbf{E}$ pop | Reference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{4 8 9}$ |  |
| Age | 51.5 | 48.16 | $p<0.01$ |
| Male | $97.30 \%$ | $92.30 \%$ | $n s$ |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25 | 26 | $n s$ |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 131 | 128,7 | $n s$ |
| Smoker | $9.0 \%$ | $15.50 \%$ | $n s$ |
| Diabetes | $1.30 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $n s$ |
| treated Dyslipidémia | $4 \%$ | $7.80 \%$ | $n s$ |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | 6 | 5.5 | $n s$ |
| Treated hypertension | $1.30 \%$ | $7.80 \%$ | $p<0.01$ |


|  | Under- E pop | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no CRF (excluded age) | $\mathbf{6 4}(85 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}(41 \%)$ |
| More than 1 CRF | $\mathbf{1 1}(15 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9}(59 \%)$ |
|  | 75 | 489 |
|  |  | $p<0.001$ |

CRF: family history, smoking, treated hypertension, diabetes or dyslipidemia, BMI > $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

Majority of AM
few CRF by empirical evaluation but high/moderate risk by SCORE
$>$ Age between 40-50 yo
Caution in case of high systolic blood pressure during visit / stress
> Moderation factor for EE:
taking into account the intensity of smoking intoxication

## Results : over-estimated population

| scook |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | EE | Low risk | Moderate <br> risk | High risk |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low risk | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Moderate <br> risk | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| High risk | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 149 |
|  | 327 | 217 | 20 |


|  | Over- E pop | Reference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=63$ | $\mathrm{n}=501$ |  |
| Age | 46 | 50.9 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Male | 92.10\% | 92.40\% | ns |
| BMI (kg/m ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) | 27.5 | 25.7 | p<0.01 |
| Systolic blood pressure ( mmHg ) | 128 | 128.9 | ns |
| Smoker | 23.80\% | 13.60\% | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| Diabetes | 3.20\% | 3.20\% | ns |
| treated Dyslipidemia | 17\% | 6.00\% | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | 5.3 | 5.9 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Treated hypertension | 4.80\% | 7.20\% | ns |


|  | Over- E pop | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| no CRF (excluded age) | $\mathbf{1 9}(30 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 4 5}(49 \%)$ |
| More than 1 CRF | $\mathbf{4 4}(70 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6}(30 \%)$ |
|  | 63 | 501 |
|  |  | $p<0.001$ |

CRF: family history, smoking, treated hypertension, diabetes or dyslipidemia, BMI > $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## Elements not taken into account by SCORE:

- Family history : 11 (17.5\%)
- Diabetes : 2 (3.2\%)
- Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome : 2 (3\%)
- BMI > 30 kg/m²: 15 (25.4\%)

L 7 with normal cholesterol

- Treated hypertension : 3 (5\%)
$\downarrow 2$ with a normal SBP


## - Conclusion



## 13

## High correlation

Where is really the real global cardiac risk ?

THE
TRUTH IS
OUT THERE


## VS

## High correlation

Where is really the real global cardiac risk ?

Each has their own limits
Cumulated cardiovascular over-risk at the limit of the disease diagnosis

- Not taken into account all major cardiac risk factors
- Distorted estimation in case of treated hypertension or dislipidemia
- No progressive risk in case of smoking
« Predictions are difficult, especially when they relate to the future »


## Pierre Dac

Thank you for your attention


